

THE ROLE OF THE METAVERSE IN THE FUTURE OF DIGITAL ARCHITECTURE: A SYSTEMATIC LITERATURE REVIEW AND RESEARCH PROSPECTS

Pramaesti, E.M.,^{1*}, Saraswati, J.A..¹

1. Architecture Department, Faculty of Architecture and Design, Soegijapranata Catholic Univeristy, Semarang, Indonesia

*Correspondent Author: pram.e.m_2001@gmail.com

Date Received: March 11, 2025, Revised: June 3, 2025, Accepted: July 16, 2025, Published: September 15, 2025..

DOI: 10.24167/joda.v5i1.14213



Abstract: The emergence of the metaverse as a three-dimensional virtual space has significantly disrupted the paradigm of digital architecture, challenging the constraints of physical space and fostering the development of more immersive, interactive, and collaborative design approaches. However, conceptual disparities and methodological limitations persist in addressing the integration of this technology into architectural practice. This study aims to identify, categorize, and analyze academic literature on the relationship between the metaverse and digital architecture. The research employs a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) method using a structured approach, including inclusion and exclusion criteria, keyword search strategies, and content analysis from reputable scholarly sources. The data reviewed comprise literature from the past five years discussing *metaverse architecture*, digital design innovation, virtual environments, immersive technologies, and future architectural practices. The findings reveal that the metaverse offers the potential to redefine architectural design through user experience and spatial immersion—dimensions that remain underexplored in prior studies. This study also presents a research roadmap and recommendations for developing inclusive, collaborative, and sustainable metaverse design models for the future of digital architecture.

Keywords: *Metaverse Architecture, Digital Design Innovation, Virtual Environment in Architecture, Immersive Technology, Future Architectural Practice*

1. Introduction

The advancement of digital technology has brought about significant changes in architectural practice, particularly in the dimensions of spatial representation and digital design. One emerging technology that has gained increasing attention is the *metaverse*, an immersive and interactive virtual environment built on the internet and extended reality technologies (1). Within the context of digital architecture, this technology offers opportunities to design and access spaces in real time, unconstrained by geographical or material limitations (2). However, despite the initial adoption of AR, VR, and XR technologies, the comprehensive integration of the metaverse has yet to meaningfully address the strategic design aspects and architectural contexts involved (3). This challenge is further compounded by the lack of theoretical frameworks capable of bridging

spatial values in architecture with the virtual world. Amid the growing need for digital spaces in education, collaboration, and social engagement, the *metaverse* presents a disruptive potential that must be examined through systematic and scholarly investigation (4). This reality underscores the necessity for new approaches in digital architecture that can respond to the evolving nature of space within the metaverse in both visionary and sustainable ways. Hence, this study serves as a critical reflection and initial mapping of digital architecture's engagement in the rapidly expanding virtual space ecosystem.

Existing literature indicates that although the intersection of the metaverse and architecture has been widely discussed, current approaches remain fragmented and exploratory (5). Most studies focus on technical aspects such as 3D simulation,



interactive visualization, and digital platform development. However, few have examined how architectural design principles are comprehensively applied within metaverse environments from a theoretical perspective (6). Furthermore, classical design theories—such as *form follows function*, postmodern spatial theory, and affordance theory—fall short in addressing the complexities of immersive, adaptive, and multidimensional virtual spaces. The absence of a metaverse-based architectural design framework points to a theoretical gap that has yet to be filled through systematic academic inquiry. In reality, architecture within the metaverse demands an approach that integrates aesthetics, functionality, user interactivity, and spatial digital narratives. For this reason, this study adopts a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) approach to categorize, classify, and analyze current literature trends and conceptual models developed around the metaverse in the field of architecture (7).

This research aims to develop a structured mapping of scholarly literature discussing the relationship between the metaverse and digital architecture. The main objective is to explore the extent to which metaverse technologies have been adopted in digital architectural design practices and to identify emerging trends, strategies, and methodological approaches. Additionally, this study seeks to uncover the challenges and opportunities involved in integrating the metaverse into shifting architectural paradigms. Through the SLR approach, it also aims to establish thematic classifications and research gaps that may inform future studies. In doing so, this research aspires to offer both theoretical and practical contributions toward the advancement of metaverse-based digital architecture that is more sustainable and responsive to the evolving demands of technology and society.

By providing a systematic literature mapping, this study seeks to fulfill academic needs in defining the role of architecture within the increasingly relevant *metaverse* ecosystem—across sectors such as education, entertainment, and digital urban planning. Given the rapid transformation of space through digital technology, architecture can no longer be confined to shaping form alone; it must also orchestrate spatial experience and dynamic interaction. Without scholarly and conceptual intervention, digital architecture risks being reduced to mere aesthetic add-ons in virtual spaces—lacking clear strategic direction or spatial value. Therefore, this research plays a critical role in formulating scientific arguments that reinforce the urgency of

developing architectural theory and practice within the context of the *metaverse*.

2. Research Method

This study focuses on the phenomenon of digital architecture's engagement within the *metaverse* as a response to the transformation of space brought about by advancements in immersive technologies. The virtual spaces constructed in the metaverse pose new challenges for architecture, such as the shifting meaning of spatiality, the representation of digital form, and user experiences that are no longer rooted in the physical world. Although VR, AR, and XR technologies have been widely applied, the understanding of architectural principles within the context of virtual environments remains underdeveloped. This phenomenon highlights the need to reexamine architectural approaches to respond both theoretically and practically to the unique spatial characteristics of the *metaverse*.

This research adopts a literature-based study using a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) approach. This methodology allows the researcher to systematically collect, evaluate, and synthesize relevant scholarly literature. Primary data consist of peer-reviewed journal articles that directly examine the interaction between the *metaverse* and digital architecture. Secondary data include books, conference proceedings, and other academic documents that address themes such as digital design, immersive technologies, and future architectural innovations.

The study draws on five core theories as its conceptual foundation, including the Metaverse Architecture Framework, CPSS-Based Architecture, and the Reality–Virtuality Continuum. These theoretical models provide a basis for understanding the relationship between digital spatial structures and architectural design values. Each theory contributes to the development of a conceptual framework for interpreting, designing, and optimizing spatial environments within the *metaverse* in the context of digital architecture.

The SLR process begins with the formulation of research questions, the development of a review protocol, and the identification of relevant literature through electronic databases. Keywords are employed to trace pertinent articles, which are then selected based on inclusion and exclusion criteria. Each selected source is assessed for quality and prepared for the data synthesis stage.

Data analysis is carried out using a content analysis approach. This technique enables the identification of patterns, interrelationships between concepts, and



key themes within the literature. Through this method, the study constructs a conceptual mapping and proposes research directions in a structured and measurable manner.

3. Discussion

A review of the literature on the concept of *metaverse architecture* reveals a paradigm shift from physical space to immersive digital environments. *Metaverse architecture* involves the design of virtual spatial environments that enable users to engage interactively within non-physical spaces, where structural and material constraints no longer apply. Several studies portray metaverse architecture as a new platform that supports boundless creativity, virtual city creation, and collaborative models between architects and end-users (8), (9), (10).

The *metaverse* encourages the use of immersive technologies such as VR and AR in creating responsive and experimental digital architecture. A study by Erdoğan & Kutsal emphasizes how the metaverse enables design experimentation free from conventional limitations and fosters collaborative practices among architects worldwide (9). This aligns with the findings of Dunstan et al., who argue that interior design in the metaverse is not merely an aesthetic display, but rather a new form of digital existence that challenges traditional notions of physical interiority (10).

The relationship between *metaverse architecture* and the challenges of architectural digitalization underscores the urgent need for new design models that respond to the demands of digital society. As virtual space becomes increasingly mainstream, traditional architectural approaches fall short in addressing the complexity of digital spatial design. A contextual understanding of design in the *metaverse* is therefore essential (8)–(10).

The literature on digital design innovation highlights how digital technology has accelerated architectural design processes through parametric modeling, digital fabrication, and AI integration. These innovations have introduced new approaches such as real-time iterative design, complex geometry exploration, and interdisciplinary collaboration via digital platforms (11), (12).

Digital design innovation also opens new possibilities in the metaverse through participatory and data-driven approaches. Ding et al. explore *co-construction* models that allow users to participate directly in the virtual design process (12). Meanwhile, Shalaby et al. propose a software-based metaverse architecture model to address scalability and interoperability

issues—critical concerns in cloud-based digital design (11).

The connection between digital design innovation and the challenges of digital architecture emphasizes the need for architects to adapt to collaborative and immersive tools and processes. In this context, innovation is not merely a technical solution, but a redefinition of architectural practice in the era of spatial virtualization (11), (12).

Virtual environments in architecture refer to three-dimensional digital spaces that replicate or replace physical space functions, often used in design simulation, architectural education, and spatial experimentation. Recent studies show that *virtual environments* allow interactive modeling and user behavior simulation, enriching design and evaluation processes (13), (14).

In the context of digital architecture, virtual environments serve as exploratory mediums that connect users with space through immersive digital interfaces. Bernasconi and Blume highlight the importance of virtual communities in creating inclusive and context-aware environments (14), while Zhang et al. emphasize the design opportunities enabled by integrating real and virtual worlds (13).

The relationship between virtual environments and the challenges of digital architecture reflects the need for design methodologies that address interactivity, immersion, and spatial flexibility in the metaverse era. Virtual environments function not merely as representational tools, but as experimental laboratories for future architectural practices (13), (14).

Findings from this study show that *metaverse architectural design* is characterized by interactivity, immersion, and spatial flexibility. Metaverse platforms are utilized across sectors such as education, social engagement, and culture, leveraging virtual environments to enable deeper and more collaborative user experiences. The research also finds that the integration of virtual and augmented reality technologies enhances user engagement and broadens the scope of activities within digital spaces.

These findings reinforce earlier studies that highlight the metaverse's potential to redefine how humans interact in digital contexts (15), (16). Unlike studies that focus solely on technological aspects, this research contributes to *metaverse architectural design* by emphasizing virtual spatial organization and its social dimensions (17). The use of a systematic approach via SLR also differentiates this study



methodologically, enhancing the validity of its findings (18).

Reflectively, the results of this study highlight the significance of metaverse architecture in creating transformative—not merely digital—spatial experiences. With thoughtful design, the *metaverse* can offer alternative social spaces that support education, work, and intercultural interaction (19). This demonstrates that the metaverse is not only a technological domain but also a new venue for more inclusive and adaptive social practices.

The implications are clear: *metaverse design* holds great potential for applications in digital education, virtual smart city development, and social simulation. The metaverse can serve as a powerful medium for simulation-based training, remote learning, and more interactive public participation spaces (20), (21).

The reason why these findings highlight the power of the metaverse in digital spatial design lies in the ability of virtual environments to create multisensory and participatory experiences unrestricted by physical limitations. This is enabled by the integration of technologies such as VR/AR, artificial intelligence, and cloud computing (22). Moreover, immersive experiences increase user engagement, directly influencing the effectiveness of virtual architectural design (23).

Based on the findings, a recommended course of action is the development of *metaverse architectural design guidelines* that incorporate ergonomic considerations, user engagement, and digital sustainability. Architects and digital designers are encouraged to collaborate with social scientists and educators to create virtual spaces that are not only technologically advanced but also socially relevant and inclusive (24).

4. Conclusion

It is truly remarkable that *metaverse architecture* not only revolutionizes the way we visualize space but also overturns the physical boundaries that have long underpinned conventional architectural practice. This study finds that digital environments within the *metaverse* possess the potential to fundamentally reshape the definitions of space, function, and user experience. The fact that interactivity, immersion, and real-time collaboration can be architecturally implemented in virtual spaces indicates that the design world is undergoing an unprecedented paradigm shift.

The primary contribution of this research lies in its effort to establish a conceptual and methodological foundation for *metaverse-based digital architectural*

practice. Through a systematic literature review, this study not only synthesizes various design approaches but also presents a research roadmap that can support the development of future architectural theories, models, and practices. The added value of this study stems from its integration of spatial dimensions and immersive technologies—elements that are often treated separately in prior research. Practically, the findings serve as a useful reference for architects, digital developers, and educators in designing virtual spaces that are more contextual, inclusive, and sustainable.

Despite being systematically structured, this study acknowledges limitations regarding its literature scope, which is restricted to open-access sources and publications from the last five years. Rather than a weakness, this limitation presents a broad opportunity for further exploration—such as empirical approaches based on user experience, the development of AI-driven collaborative design frameworks, or the integration of socio-cultural dimensions into *metaverse architecture*. Future research is encouraged to test the validity of the synthesized theoretical frameworks in real-world applications and to expand the inquiry into broader interdisciplinary domains.

References

- [1] S.-K. Tang and J.-H. Hou, "Designing a Framework for Metaverse Architecture Based on User Requirements: Toward Low-Carbon Future Architecture," *OST-CARBON, Proceedings of the 27th International Conference of the Association for Computer-Aided Architectural Design Research in Asia (CAADRIA) 2022, Volume 2*, 445-454.
- [2] Giresun Erdoğan, B. & Kutsal, S. (2024). The Impact of Metaverse on Architectural Production: Opportunities and Challenges. *PLANARCH - Design and Planning Research*, 8(2), 177-189. DOI: 10.54864/planarch.1456793
- [3] R. Woick et al., "Metaverse in Higher Education: A Systematic Literature Review," *37th Bled eConference – Resilience Through Digital Innovation: Enabling the Twin Transition: June 9 – 12, 2024, Bled, Slovenia, Conference Proceedings*, DOI <https://doi.org/10.18690/um.fov.4.2024.4>
- [4] Z. Zhang et al., 2023. "The Application of Metaverse in the Construction Industry," *Journal of Civil Engineering and Urban Planning*. Vol. 5 Num. 3, DOI: 10.23977/jceup.2023.050309
- [5] A. Asadi and M. Ghasemi, "Exploring the Future of the Metaverse: Research Models for User



- Experience,” arXiv:2411.10408, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2411.10408>
- [6] Sorooshian, Shahryar and Tavana, Madjid, A systematic review of the soft computing methods shaping the future of the metaverse (August 01, 2024). *Applied Soft Computing Journal* 150 (2024) 111098, DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4917601>
- [7] M. Avinç and A. Yıldız, 2024, “A Bibliometric and Systematic Review of Scientific Literature on the Metaverse,” *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*, 35(2):825-849, DOI: [10.1007/s10798-024-09918-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10798-024-09918-1)
- [8] H. Hussein, “Designing virtual cities: Exploring the impact of metaverse architecture,” *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*, 2025, 15(01), 1696-1700. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/ijrsra.2025.15.1.1232>
- [9] B. G. Erdoğan and S. Kutsal, “The Impact of Metaverse on Architectural Production: Opportunities and Challenges,” *PLANARCH - Design and Planning Research*, 2024, **Volume: 8, Number: 2, Page: 177-189, DOI: 10.54864/planarch.1456793**
- [10] Dunstan, B. J., Stonham, M., & Dincer, D. (2024). Uncertain Future Dwelling: Emergent Interiors of the Metaverse. *Interiority*, 7 (2), 151-174. <https://doi.org/10.7454/in.v7i2.394>
- [11] N. A. Elkareem, M. Selim, and A. Shalaby, “Software-Defined Metaverse (SDM) Architecture,” *Journal of Metaverse*, 2024. Volume:4, Issue:2, Pages:146-156, Doi: 10.57019/jmv.1541821
- [12] Xianglei Ding, Manting Sun, Wenhao Bai, and Xin Li. 2022b. Exploration of Architectural Design in the Co-construction Mode of User and Designer in the Metaverse Environment. In *2022 7th International Conference on Systems, Control and Communications*. ACM, Chongqing China, 55–60. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3575828.3575838>
- [13] R. Zhang et al., “The Application of Metaverse in the Construction Industry: Exploring the Future Architectural Trends of Virtual and Real Integration” *Journal of Civil Engineering and Urban Planning*, Vol 5, Issue 3, 2023, DOI: 10.23977/jceup.2023.050309
- [14] C. Bernasconi and L. B. Blume, “Theorizing architectural research and practice in the metaverse: the meta-context of virtual community engagement” *Archnet-IJAR: International Journal of Architectural Research* (2025) 19 (1): 108–127, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1108/ARCH-08-2023-0203>
- [15] M. Tavana and S. Sorooshian, “A systematic review of the soft computing methods shaping the future of the metaverse,” *Applied Soft Computing*, vol. 150, p. 111098, 2024. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2023.111098>
- [16] M. Farooq et al., “The Potential of Metaverse Fundamentals, Technologies, and Applications: A Systematic Literature Review,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 11, pp. 138472–138487, 2023. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3338627>
- [17] M. Avinç and A. Yıldız, 2024, “A Bibliometric and Systematic Review of Scientific Literature on the Metaverse,” *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*, 35(2):825-849, DOI: [10.1007/s10798-024-09918-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10798-024-09918-1)
- [18] A. Woick et al., “Metaverse in Higher Education – A Systematic Literature Review,” *37th Bled eConference – Resilience Through Digital Innovation: Enabling the Twin Transition: June 9 – 12, 2024, Bled, Slovenia, Conference Proceedings*, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.18690/um.fov.4.2024>
- [19] D. Buhalis et al., “Metaverse as a disruptive technology revolutionising tourism management and marketing,” *Tourism Management*, Volume 97, August 2023, 104724. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2023.104724>
- [20] G. Mercan and Z. V. Selçuk, “The Role of Metaverse Technology in Education: A Systematic Review of Opportunities, Challenges, and Educational Potential” *Sakarya University Journal of Education*, 2024. **Volume: 14, Number: 2, Page : 360-375, DOI: https://doi.org/10.19126/suje.1376341**
- [21] C. Villalonga-Gómez, E. Ortega-Fernández and E. Borau-Boira, "Fifteen Years of Metaverse in Higher Education: A Systematic Literature Review," in *IEEE Transactions on Learning Technologies*, vol. 16, no. 6, pp. 1057-1070, Dec. 2023, doi: 10.1109/TLT.2023.3302382.
- [22] M. Li and Z. Yu, “A systematic review on the metaverse-based blended English learning,” *Frontiers in Psychology*, Volume 13, 2022, <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1087508>
- [23] L. Araújo and M. M. Soares, “Exploring Student Attention in the Metaverse: A Systematic Literature Review from the Perspective of Design and Ergonomics” 2024, *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, страницы 3-20. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-031-61359-3_1
- [24] N. A. Elkareem et al., “Software-Defined Metaverse (SDM) Architecture,” *Journal of Metaverse*, 2024. Volume:4, Issue:2, Pages:146-156, Doi: 10.57019/jmv.1541821

