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Abstract
The aim of this study was to verify the Service Quality impacts the intention of customers to Repurchase Intention directly and also through Customer Satisfaction and Customer Trust. The participants of this study comprised 162 JNT customers who were selected using a purposive sampling approach. The data underwent analysis using the SEM method with smart-PLS version 4.0. The examination employed various methods such as outer model test, inner model test, mediation test, and hypothesis testing. The validity test showed that values greater than 0.7 for the loading factor and values greater than 0.5 for the average variance extracted (AVE) were considered valid. The reliability test results showed that the instrument used was reliable because the composite reliability and Cronbach's alpha had a value greater than 0.7. The obtaining indicated that the impact of Service Quality on Repurchase Intention was both negative and not significant. In the mediation test, it was found that impact of Service Quality on customer satisfaction and customer trust was both positive. In addition, the impact of customer satisfaction and customer trust on repurchase intention was both positive and significant. Meanwhile, service quality had a negative and insignificant effect on repurchase intention.
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INTRODUCTION

The growth of the times makes technology continue to develop with all the innovations that are carefully made to provide convenience and increase efficiency in human life. Technology has become an integral part of various sectors, including the business world. The traditional business system has been transformed into a more modern and efficient one due to the changing and evolving usage of information media. (Sabila & Omar, 2023). This development has an impact on all business sectors, one of which is a business in the service
sector, namely the expedition business. JNT is one of the expedition business fields in Indonesia. JNT is a multinational company headquartered in Jakarta, Indonesia. The company was established on August 20, 2015. The company is engaged in the expedition business (J&T Express - Wikipedia). This makes the expedition business very important, especially during covid-19 which makes people need JNT services to send their online purchases. This makes expeditions develop with online shopping and fulfillment of needs that make expedition customers want everything to be practical and also get good service.

In Indonesia there are many delivery services, one of the services that has the best index in 2023 is JNT (Top Brand Awards, 2023). JNT is also one of the companies that is the destination of consumers, especially young people who are commonly called gen Z (GoodStats, 2023). From the information provided, it is possible to infer that JNT is the expedition most often used by Gen Z, which means that JNT has many advantages so that customers choose JNT as their preferred expedition. That makes researchers want to research 'why is JNT a frequently used expedition?' because this research might be a reference material for other expeditions to be more competitive so that customers, especially gen z, can use other expeditions as an expedition company. JNT is also one of the preferred expeditions for shipping goods because many customers work outside the city or settle outside the city so that customers cannot continue to be close to their families, JNT is one of the expeditions that makes it easy to still be able to connect with families who are outside the city or outside the island.

The researcher identified a clear evidence gap in previous research on service quality. Previous research has discussed several aspects of Quality of Service, Customer Satisfaction, Customer Trust, and also Repurchase Intention. Investigation Results (Lestari & Wardana, 2023) stated that the effect of service quality on customer satisfaction was both negative and
not significant. Meanwhile, (Abror et al., 2020) shows the results that the impact of service quality on customer satisfaction has a significant and positive, (Susanto et al., 2021) states that service quality has a negative impact on repurchase intention, however (Priscillia et al., 2021) states if service quality positively and directly impact on repurchase intention, and (Ginting et al., 2023) show that customer trust has no significant effect on repurchase intention, in contrast to (Shin et al., 2013) states if customer trust has positive influence on repurchase intention. Earlier studies have failed to address certain inconsistencies found in the results of preceding research. Researchers have identified evidence gaps in previous research that are contradictory in findings.

In finding differences in previous research, the variables that will be analyzed by researchers are service quality, customer satisfaction, customer trust, and repurchase intention. The objective of this study is to examine the correlation between service quality and the intention to repurchase, as well as comparing it by customer satisfaction and customer trust.

**LITERATURE REVIEW**

**Service Quality**

Success in a service industry depends on providing high-quality services (Meesala & Paul, 2018). The measurement of service quality involves evaluating customers’ satisfaction reposed their initial expectations versus their actual experience. Collectively, functional service quality and technical quality are also considered as service quality. Functional quality is a somewhat objective classification, based on customers' personal perceptions of behavior, attitudes, and other factors (Lai et al., 2022). Overall, service quality is defined an ability of the service to find customer expectations, needs, and satisfaction (Leong et al., 2015).

SERVQUAL is a model commonly used to identify and measure the service quality experienced by customer (Uzir et al., 2021). This study uses the widely accepted SERVQUAL
model which has tangibility, reliability, responsiveness, assurance and empathy of a product of service, so that it can provide knowledge on the effect of important quality of service such as customer satisfaction (Meesala & Paul, 2018).

**Customer Satisfaction**

Customer satisfaction is one of the key of business sustainability (Agyabeng-Mensah et al., 2019). customer satisfaction will only be obtained when the customer feels that the company's performance exceeds his expectations (Fida et al., 2020).

Customer satisfaction is also an important part by a successful and profitable company, customer satisfaction helps increase profit margins, employee satisfaction, retention, and customer loyalty. Therefore, customer satisfaction should be the basis for all other business performance metrics (Burity, 2021). The degree of customer satisfaction depends on how well the company can fulfill their expectations by offering excellent service quality. (Nugraha & Mandala, 2020).

**Customer Trust**

Trust is the key element which influences customers' desire to make a purchase. (Agung Setiawan & Hidajat, 2022). This type of trust can be described as the buyer's belief that all the necessary factors are present to ensure a smooth and successful transaction with the company. (Liang et al., 2018). When consumers lose trust in a company, the impact is very significant for the company's business continuity, products that are no longer trusted by consumers will experience difficulties in growth in the market (Nugraha & Mandala, 2020). The significance of customer trust in a company lies in its ability to decrease both risk and uncertainty and improve how the company's performance is seen by customers (Song et al., 2019).
Customer trust points to the conviction or faith the customers have in a business or brand or perception that customers have of a company regarding confidentiality, truthfulness, moral character, and strong ethical principles, if the customers have faith in a company, they will willingly buy products from the company again without any hesitation. (Soeharso & Wikantari, 2022).

**Repurchase Intention**

Repurchase intention can be said to be the desire to buy the same product twice or more. Companies or product providers must meet consumer expectations in order to generate a desire for consumers to repurchase the product. Satisfied consumers are more likely to make another purchase than dissatisfied consumers. Therefore, repurchase intention is explained as the buyer's desire to repurchase goods or services whose benefits and quality have been previously felt (Ilyas et al., 2020).

In terms of "repurchase intention", customers can evaluate their desire to buy the same item again, taking into account the present circumstances and potential future outcomes (Sholahuddin, 2023).

**The effect of Service Quality on Customer Satisfaction**

A level of service provided is crucial for ensuring that customers are satisfied. (Balinado et al., 2021). The quality of service, the service value perceived of the customers, and the customers trust all play a role in determining customer satisfaction. (Uzir et al., 2021). Service quality has significant and also positive impact on customer satisfaction (Abror et al., 2020) (Nunkoo et al., 2020) additionally shows that service quality can significantly predict customer satisfaction. During research (Latifah et al., 2024) shows if service quality has positive and significant on customer satisfaction. With this explanation, a hypothesis used in this study is stated as follows.
H1 : Service Quality has a positive and significant impact on Customer Satisfaction

**The effect of Service Quality on Customer Trust**

Because companies must build customer trust in the services or products, they offer to increase customer trust and also customer satisfaction, customer trust in products or services usually arises because consumers assess product quality based on what they see, understand, or feel (Nugraha & Mandala, 2020). (Mamusung et al., 2019) claimed if quality of service has significant positive effect on customer trust. (Hidayat et al., 2020) also indicates a significant effect the quality of service on customer trust. With this explanation, a hypothesis will be applied in this study is stated as follows.

H2: Service Quality has a positive and significant impact on Customer Trust

**The effect of Service Quality on Repurchase Intention**

Management has set the goal of repurchasing customer as part of their efforts to market products successfully. This goal can be achieved if the company succeeds in meeting customer needs by providing high quality services (Cahyati & Seminari, 2020). (Priscillia et al., 2021) claimed that service quality positively and directly impacts on repurchase intention (Cahyati & Seminari, 2020) Indicates if service quality has positive and significant effect on repurchase intention. With this explanation, a hypothesis will be applied in this study is as follows.

H3: Service Quality has positive and significant impact on Repurchase intention

**The impact of Customer Satisfaction on Repurchase Intention**

A level of customer satisfaction is able to be determined by comparing their anticipations prior to and following the procurement of a product or service. (Nogalski et al., 2021). Customer satisfaction mentions to fulfilling the needs and desires of customer expectations that go beyond what is initially established based on the responses from customers regarding their achievements. (Yim et al., 2021) . All businesses seek to make a
profit by meeting customer needs. Hence, one essential measure for companies to increase their quality products or service is to evaluate customer satisfaction and gather their opinions. If customers are content by the services or the products they purchase, they will have a greater inclination to repurchase them. (Soeharso & Wikantari, 2022). (Lestari & Wardana, 2023) evidences if the impact of customer satisfaction on repurchase intention has positive and significant. By this explanation, a hypothesis will be applied in this study is stated as follows.
H4: Customer Satisfaction has positive and significant impact on Repurchase Intention.

The impact of Customer Trust on Repurchase Intention

Trust is the person's impression of how good something they trust is (Harrison McKnight et al., 2002). In companies, marketers and customer relationship managers are very concerned about the trust that customers have in the service company (Eisingerich & Bell, 2008). Customers will be more satisfied with the products or services offered by companies they trust (Soeharso & Wikantari, 2022). Thus, customers who already trust the service company will be interested in continuing to buy the same product or service at the company they trust. (Shin et al., 2013) states if customer trust has a positive impact on repurchase intention. By this explanation, a hypothesis will be applied in this study is stated as follows.
H5: Customer Trust has positive and significant impact on Repurchase Intention.

Figure 1 Hypothesis
METHODS

This research used quantitative methods because researchers wanted to know the causal connection between service quality and repurchase intention. The method was by distributing questionnaires to JNT consumers, where researchers collected primary data directly through interviews and also distributed questionnaires to consumers regarding the impact of quality of service on repurchase intention. The research questionnaire consisted of 25 questions. This questionnaire consisted of 4 segments: service quality, customer satisfaction, customer trust and repurchase intention (Harpe, 2015)) which was measured using a 1-10 rating scale.

In this research, it utilized non-probability sampling techniques using purposive sampling. The sample required was JNT service users who had utilized the service two or more times. Based on these criteria, the number of samples was 162 respondents.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results

Measurement Model (outer model)

In this research there are two measurement models, namely Validity Test and Reliability Test.

a. Convergent Validity

The purpose of this test is to assess how well the indicator reflects the concept being evaluated. This exam is deemed satisfactory if the external loading is > 0.7 and the Average Variance Extracted (AVE) is a minimum of 0.5. (Ghozali & Chariri, 2018).
Table 2 Convergent Validity Test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Outer Loading</th>
<th>AVE</th>
<th>Hasil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Service Quality (X1)</td>
<td>X1.1</td>
<td>0.898</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X1.2</td>
<td>0.906</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X1.3</td>
<td>0.849</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X1.4</td>
<td>0.847</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X1.5</td>
<td>0.919</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X1.6</td>
<td>0.900</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X1.7</td>
<td>0.922</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X1.8</td>
<td>0.906</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X1.9</td>
<td>0.888</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X1.1</td>
<td>0.940</td>
<td>0.806</td>
<td>VALID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer Satisfaction (Z1)</td>
<td>Z1.1</td>
<td>0.935</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z1.2</td>
<td>0.938</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z1.3</td>
<td>0.952</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z1.4</td>
<td>0.946</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z1.5</td>
<td>0.939</td>
<td>0.887</td>
<td>VALID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer Trust (Z2)</td>
<td>Z2.1</td>
<td>0.945</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z2.2</td>
<td>0.952</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z2.3</td>
<td>0.962</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z2.4</td>
<td>0.936</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z2.5</td>
<td>0.970</td>
<td>0.980</td>
<td>VALID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repurchase Intention (Y1)</td>
<td>Y1.1</td>
<td>0.959</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y1.2</td>
<td>0.964</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y1.3</td>
<td>0.948</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y1.4</td>
<td>0.959</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y1.5</td>
<td>0.924</td>
<td>0.904</td>
<td>VALID</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Data output processed from Smart-PLS version 4.0

The Convergent Validity Test results show that all variables are declared valid because all Outer Loading values exceed 0.7 and the AVE value is >0.5.

b. Reliability Test

Composite Reliability and Cronbach's Alpha are reliable metrics, on a scale of values from zero to one. When the value exceeds 0.7, the reliability test is declared to have reliability.

Table 3 Nilai Composite Reliability dan Cronbach’s Alpha

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Composite reliability</th>
<th>Cronbach's alpha</th>
<th>Hasil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Service Quality</td>
<td>0.974</td>
<td>0.973</td>
<td>Reliable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer Satisfaction</td>
<td>0.968</td>
<td>0.968</td>
<td>Reliable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer Trust</td>
<td>0.975</td>
<td>0.975</td>
<td>Reliable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repurchase Intention</td>
<td>0.974</td>
<td>0.974</td>
<td>Reliable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Data output processed from Smart-PLS version 4.0
In the Reliability Test, it can be shown that the indicators of all variables get a value above 0.7 and are declared to have good reliability.

**Inner Model (Structural Model)**

Inner models can be said to be structural models that are commonly employed for forecasting cause-and-effect associations between variables that cannot be directly quantified and hidden variables.

![Figure 2 Structural Model](image)

Source: Data output processed from Smart-PLS version 4.0

**R- Square**

R-Square is a measurement tool that shows the extent to which the independent factor affects the outcome variable. When the R-Square value reaches 0.67, it is regarded as having a significant impact. It is categorized as moderate when it reaches 0.33, and regarded as weak when it reaches 0.19.

**Table 4 R- Square**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>R-square</th>
<th>R-square adjusted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Customer Satisfaction</td>
<td>0.911</td>
<td>0.911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer Trust</td>
<td>0.856</td>
<td>0.855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repurchase Intention</td>
<td>0.890</td>
<td>0.888</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Data output processed from Smart-PLS version 4.0
It can be concluded from the data above that the R-Square for Repurchase Intention has a value of 0.890 while the Adjusted R-square value is 0.888. This indicates that nearly 89% of the fluctuations in Repurchase Intention are influenced by factors such as the quality of service, customer satisfaction, and the level of trust the customer has. The remaining 11% is impacted by variables that were not taken into account in this study.

a. Mediation Test

In terms of mediation, there are three classifications. Non-mediation occurs when independent and dependent variables have positive correlation, while the mediating variable is negative. Full mediation happens when independent and dependent variables have a negative relationship with each other, while the mediating variable is positive. Partial mediation occurs when there is positive connection in independent and dependent variables, and the mediating variable is also positive. An outcome in conducting test the bootstrapping method with Smart-PLS 4.0 can be interpreted based on a P-value of less than 0.05 indicating a positive effect, and vice versa, that the P-value is more than 0.05 then it shows a negative effect.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Original Sample</th>
<th>Sample Mean</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>T-statistics</th>
<th>P-values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Customer Satisfaction -&gt; Repurchase Intention</td>
<td>0.744</td>
<td>0.716</td>
<td>0.208</td>
<td>3.573</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer Trust -&gt; Repurchase Intention</td>
<td>0.312</td>
<td>0.316</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>2.505</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Quality -&gt; Customer Satisfaction</td>
<td>0.955</td>
<td>0.955</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>94.848</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Quality -&gt; Customer Trust</td>
<td>0.925</td>
<td>0.924</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>45.070</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Quality -&gt; Repurchase Intention</td>
<td>-0.102</td>
<td>-0.076</td>
<td>0.170</td>
<td>0.598</td>
<td>0.550</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Data output processed from Smart-PLS version 4.0
On table 5 and 6 the data shows that:

An impact of the quality service on Repurchase Intention if mediated by Customer Satisfaction in table 5, it is be able to be seen that the path coefficient between service quality and customer satisfaction has positive relationship, P-value is 0.000 <0.05. In addition, table 6 shows that the Specific Indirect Effect regarding Service Quality with Repurchase Intention through Customer satisfaction is positive, with a P-value is 0.000 <0.005. So, this connection is categorized as partial mediation. The effect of Service Quality on Repurchase Intention mediated by Customer Trust In table 5, it is able to be seen that the path coefficient between service quality and customer trust has positive connection, P-value is 0.000 <0.05. In addition, table 5 shows if the Specific Indirect Effect regarding Service Quality on Repurchase Intention through Customer Trust has positive relationship, with a P-value = 0.012 <0.005. So, this relationship is categorized as partial mediation.

**Hypothesis Test**

In Hypothesis Testing, we can see the T-Statistics and P-Value. A hypothesis can be received if the P-value <0.05. To find out this can be found in the Path Coefficient obtained from the bootstrapping Technique in Smart-PLS version 4.0.

---

**Table 6 Specific Indirect Effect**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Original Sample</th>
<th>Sample Mean</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>T-statistic</th>
<th>P-values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Service Quality -&gt; Customer Satisfaction -&gt; Repurchase Intention</td>
<td>0.710</td>
<td>0.683</td>
<td>0.197</td>
<td>3.602</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Quality -&gt; Customer Trust -&gt; Repurchase Intention</td>
<td>0.289</td>
<td>0.292</td>
<td>0.115</td>
<td>2.515</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Data output processed from Smart-PLS version 4.0
Table 7 Hypothesis Test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Analys</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Service Quality -> Customer Satisfaction | Coefficient = 0.955  
| | P Value = 0.000  
| | T- Statistics = 94.848  
| | T- Tabel = 1.654  
| | T- Statistics > T- Tabel |
| Service Quality -> Customer Trust | Coefficient = 0.925  
| | P Value = 0.000  
| | T- Statistics = 45.070  
| | T- Tabel = 1.654  
| | T- Statistics > T-Tabel |
| Service Quality -> Repurchase Intention | Coefficient = -0.102  
| | P Value = 0.550  
| | T- Statistics = 0.598  
| | T- Tabel = 1.654  
| | T- Statistics < T-Tabel |
| Customer Satisfaction -> Repurchase Intention | Coefficient = 0.744  
| | P Value = 0.000  
| | T- Statistics = 3.573  
| | T- Tabel = 1.654  
| | T- Statistics > T-Tabel |
| Customer Trust -> Repurchase Intention | Coefficient = 0.312  
| | P Value = 0.012  
| | T- Statistics = 2.505  
| | T- Tabel = 1.654  
| | T- Statistics > T-Tabel |

Source: Data output processed from Smart-PLS version 4.0

Hypothesis 1: the effect of Service Quality (X1) on Customer Satisfaction (Z1)

It is able to be seen if the coefficient value is (0.955) with t-statistic (94.848) > t-table (1.654) and value (0.000) < 0.05. Therefore, Ha1 is accepted. This suggests if the variable of service quality, when coupled on customer satisfaction, positively and significantly impacts customer JNT.

Hypothesis 2: the impact of Service Quality (X1) on Customer Trust (Z2)

It can be seen if the coefficient value is (0.925) with t-statistic (45.070) > t-table (1.654) and p-value (0.000) < 0.05. Therefore, Ha2 is accepted. This indicates if the service quality variable with customer trust has positive and significant impact on customer JNT.
Hypothesis 3: The effect of Service Quality (X1) on Repurchase Intention (Y1)

It can be seen if the coefficient value is (-0.102) with t-statistic (0.598) < t-table (1.654) and p-value (0.550) > 0.05. Therefore, Ha3 is rejected. This indicates if the service quality variable with Repurchase Intention has negative and insignificant impact on customer JNT.

Hypothesis 4: the impact of Customer Satisfaction (Z1) on Repurchase Intention (Y1)

It can be seen if the coefficient value is (0.744) with t-statistic (3.573) > t-table (1.654) and p-value (0.000) < 0.05. Therefore, Ha4 is accepted. This indicates if the Customer Satisfaction variable with Repurchase Intention has positive and significant impact on customer JNT.

Hypothesis 5: the impact of Customer Trust (Z2) on Repurchase Intention (Y1)

It can be seen if the coefficient value is (0.312) with t-statistic (2.505) > t-table (1.654) and p-value (0.012) < 0.05. Therefore, Ha5 is accepted. This indicates if the customer trust variable with Repurchase Intention has positive and significant impact on customer JNT.

Discussion

From the outcome of the research, the validity test and reliability test of the quality of service, customer satisfaction, customer trust, and repurchase intention variables were valid and reliable because the value of all variables was above 0.7. R-square testing the value was above 0.67 and testing the mediation by the connection between Service Quality on Repurchase Intention mediated of customer satisfaction and customer trust was Partial Mediation. In addition, when testing the hypothesis, it showed if there was positive and significant influence of Service Quality on Customer Satisfaction. It was found if there was positive and significant influence of Service Quality on Customer Trust. It also indicated if there was positive and significant influence of Customer Satisfaction on Repurchase Intention.
It was found that a positive and significant influence of Customer Trust on Repurchase Intention. It was found that the negative and insignificant impact of Service Quality on Repurchase Intention.

CONCLUSIONS

The findings from this study will bring a beneficial effect on the company. It will enable the company to enhance repurchase intention by implementing strategies focused on elevating customer satisfaction. These strategies include activities to fulfill service expectations, improve consumer experience, and boost the company's brand so that it becomes the preferred choice for consumers. By doing so, the company will create an environment where consumers feel confident in selecting JNT. Another strategy that can be used to increase repurchase intention is customer trust, which is in the form of fulfilling Trusting belief, Trusting Intention, improving the company so that consumers trust the company, increasing the company's claims to increase consumer confidence, increasing in providing good expedition services.
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