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Abstract
The presence of new buildings is currently seen to be dominated with minimalist style. The traditional and transitional houses have been gradually extinct. This study, therefore, assesses the vernacular housing in Aceh, Indonesia focusing on Banda Aceh and the surrounding areas. The vernacular houses evaluated in this study are the traditional, transitional and modern houses. The idea is to assess the environmental quality of those houses in term of local wisdom, embodied energy and thermal comfort. Since the local climate of Aceh is not significantly different from the majority of the lowland area in Sumatra or even Indonesia, this study can also be a reference in conducting similar studies.
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Abstrak
Kehadiran bangunan baru saat ini dominasi dengan gaya minimalis. Rumah-rumah tradisional dan transisi telah secara bertahap punah. Oleh karena itu penelitian ini menilai perumahan vernakular di Aceh, Indonesia yang berfokus pada Banda Aceh dan sekitarnya. Rumah-rumah vernakular yang dinilai dalam penelitian ini adalah rumah tradisional, transisi dan modern. Lingkup dari penelitian ini adalah penilaian kualitas lingkungan rumah dalam hal kearifan lokal, energi yang terkandung dan kenyamanan termal. Karena iklim lokal Aceh tidak berbeda secara signifikan dari sebagian besar daerah dataran rendah di Sumatera atau bahkan Indonesia, maka penelitian ini juga dapat menjadi acuan dalam melakukan penelitian serupa

Kata Kunci: Rumah vernakular, Aceh, Embodied energy, kenyamanan termal

INTRODUCTION
Vernacular architecture is architecture without architects. In environmental architecture, vernacular architecture becomes a guideline in designing building adaptive to the local climate. The adaptive characters are such as its ability in supplying comfort to the occupants, and being friendly to environment mostly through the materials used.

In tropics, vernacular houses appear with the large overhang to protect the house against the rain and shade the internal space from direct sunlight and heat; the openings faces north and south which is also designed to receive only the welcome sunlight. The design typically follows a template for structure grids. Local wisdom values are implied; for example close relationship among family members. In Aceh, it is currently very scarce to find these houses. They are mostly unoccupied or used as the museum or educative buildings. Once it is occupied, it is often modernized using concrete and glass.
Based on the above background, this study assesses Banda Aceh as the case to explore the vernacular housing.

Banda Aceh is the capital city of Aceh province situated in 5°33'0"N 95°19'0"E which is about 8 meters above the sea level. This town is mostly surrounded by the coast and sea. The local climate is wet humid with average temperature 27°C, relative humidity 78%, wind speed 2m/s and the dominant of wind orientation faces southeast; the amount of rain is 100.6mm which mostly falls in December and January (BMKG, 2008).

The study assessed several houses which are very common to see as the representative of the three house types, namely traditional, transitional and modern housing. The cases are named as follows:

RTD : Traditional house
RTS : Transitional house
RM : Modern house (due to the complexity of house styles, the house types selected in this study are detached – RM1, shop house – RM2, and semi-detached- RM3)

The data collection was conducted through field survey, interview and using mechanical measurement explained in Table 1.

Tabel 1. Research Method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Data collection Method</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local wisdom applied in the house</td>
<td>Survey/ observation and interview</td>
<td>Lay out plan and house façade,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embodied energy</td>
<td>Survey/ observation for the materials that can be directly observed.</td>
<td>Energy density (MJ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal Comfort</td>
<td>Mechanical measurement and interview</td>
<td>Air temperature (°C) Relative humidity (%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This study conducts an environmental assessment on the housing in Aceh which covers local wisdom, embodied energy and thermal comfort. The aim is to see how sustainable the houses in Aceh; and in what aspects of sustainability value could be sustained and implied in the current housing.

**RESEARCH METHOD**
Air temperature and relative humidity were measured with thermal data logger- Espec RS 12 Thermorecorder (figure 2). The logger which was placed in the rooms without mechanical thermal comfort supplier such as, fan or air conditioner recorded the thermal data in 24 hours for each measures house.

THEORITICAL REVIEW

Environmental and Architecture

Sustainable development which has been broadly understood to define many aspects related to save the world from destructions. In Building, sustainability was the realization that a green approach to the built environment involved a holistic approach to the design of buildings; that all the resources that go into the building be they materials, fuels or the contribution of the users, need to be considered if sustainable architecture is to be produced. The move towards more sustainable architecture is growing every year. Development is considered sustainable if it is able to protect the environment (Bread for the World, Background Paper No. 129, Washington, DC, March 1993).

Being friendly to the environment is by maintaining the high quality of all sources without decreasing their quality that will be used by future generations (UNCSD, 2007). Therefore saving energy and zero emission are a critical issue to be considered. Thus, a sustainable building should be prepared from the very early process up to the demolition to get branded as green.

In this study besides learning from the ancient wise of local wisdom in being sustainable, thermal comfort and embodied energy are also evaluated. Buildings are responsible for a large amount of the total world annual energy consumption (Omer, 2008; Roaf, 2001) hence once it is well managed to reduce the energy use, it will help to save the environment from damage. Omer (2008) wrote that one way of reducing building energy consumption is to design buildings which are more economical in their use of energy for providing thermal comfort.

Building materials must also be considered in sustainable housing, according to the following criteria: produced by socially and environmentally responsible companies; produced sustainably-harvested, extracted, processed, and transported efficiently and cleanly; low embodied energy, locally produced, made from recycled waste, made from recycled or renewable materials; durable; recyclable; nontoxic; efficient in their use of resources; reliant on renewable resources; and non-polluting (Chiras, 2004).
RESULT AND DISCUSSIONS
Local Wisdom Applied in the House

Local wisdom is a sustainable characteristic that talks about adaptive integration with culture and local climate. In architectural term, local people’s wisdom is interpreted as nature expressed in their houses. In this session, some selected houses from the three house type categories are discussed.

![Image of a traditional house](image)

**Figure 3. Acehnese traditional house, (RTD)**

RTD is an Acehnese traditional house which is called rumoh Aceh. It has four parts, namely a living room, the middle room functioning as the connection between two bedrooms, the back room working as the family gathering place, and the last is the female room which is located either in east or west functioning as the parent sleeping room.

The local wisdom of the house is presented through the responding climatic house designs as follows:

- The long side with openings of the house facing north and south. It meets the recommended house design in tropics to avoid the direct solar radiation. While the short side facing west and east was a guidance to orient the kiblah (position of Mecca) during prayer which is about 150° from the west in the clockwise. This reflects the fact that the vast majority of Acehnese people that are Muslims.

- The large overhang of the roof shading the windows and almost all the external wall surfaces facing north and south. This sets the house in a cooler environment. It is even cooler by being heavily shaded by the surrounding trees and vegetation.

- Raising the floor to up about 1.8 meters above the ground. Besides protecting the occupants against wild animals and flooding, this construction is also intended to catch the higher wind to cool down the air temperature. It is also approached by getting the air from beneath the floor circulated throughout the house through the small air floor gaps. The space beneath the floor is also used to socialize with others and also as storage spaces.
• Lots of large openings to let the air circulate throughout the house. The apertures are applied in the overall of building envelopes such as roof, wall, and floor.

• Limited inside partitions and less furniture to help the air freely circulate.

• Built in lightweight construction. Wall is wooden board and roof is made from thatch which is low in thermal capacity.

Figure 4. Transitional house (RTS)

RTS1 is the transitional house in Banda Aceh. This house is partially used as kindergarten (the front rooms) which is still running up to now. The rear parts are used as the living area. The layout plan shows that it adopts the traditional zoning pattern, namely front living space which is utilized as a living room, middle living space which is used as bedrooms zone and the rear living space which is utilized as family room such as dining room closed to the service area, i.e., kitchen and toilet. An additional bedroom at the front was built in recent time to accommodate the kindergarten activities. The majority of the material is timber. The modern materials used are glass for window and cemented floor for the kitchen.

Figure 5. Detached modern house (RM1)
RM1 is the house which was built by NGO for tsunami victims. It has a typical template of two bedrooms, a toilet and a small kitchen (36-52m²). It has been constructed a few years after the tsunami in 2004. The house was built in heavyweight material, i.e., brickworks and cemented/ ceramic floor.

The building materials commonly used in such building for both areas are brick wall, cemented floor or ceramic floor, glass window. Such this shophouse is often built in row causing limited openings which can only be accessed from the front and the rear.

Figure 6. Shophouse (RM2)

RM2 is the typical commercial building built following the main street in Banda Aceh. The commercial activities are such as a shop or an office use the ground floor, while the upper floor is used as a living space (house).

Figure 7. Semi-detached house (RM3)

RM3 is a couple home in Banda Aceh. This type of house is also quite typically built where two houses are built sharing the same roof structure construction and front terrace. Such house is usually built from brickwork.
Embodied energy of the vernacular houses

Embodied energy is the energy consumed by all of the processes associated with the production of a building, from the mining and processing of natural resources to manufacturing, transport and product delivery. In this study, Processing Energy Requirement (PER) is used for the evaluation of the embodied energy of a building. PER is the energy consumption involved in the exploitation and processing of a building material. Energy coefficient in PER is calculated as MJ/kg which is further calculated in finding energy density (Renping, 2006).

This study evaluated the thermal density of the traditional, transitional and modern houses using the following criteria:

Case 1: Traditional house which is built with original material.
Case 2: Transitional house which is built in raised floor type. Timber is applied as the wall material and zinc sheet as the roof material.
Case 3: Modern house which is usually built in brick wall, ceramic floor and zinc roof supported by light steel roof frame.

The thermal density is calculated based on the formula used by Wang (2006) which applied the main components of house i.e. roof, wall, and floor into the calculation. In this study the opening (window) is also calculated since different material of window is applied to different type of houses. Table 2, 3, 4 show the total energy density which is conducted through this following step: First, the weight of a component per square meter is calculated according to the construction of each member. Then, by multiplying the material’s weight and its energy coefficient (MJ/kg), we will get the total energy per square meter, which is the density of energy of the main components. Table 2, 3 and 4 show the calculated parameters to obtain the total energy density in case of RTD, RTS, and RTM.

Table 2 shows that the traditional house has the little value of embodied energy compared with the transitional and modern house. The low energy coefficient of wood causes the lower value of thermal density. However, the scarcity of wood makes the price higher than using brick which has higher thermal mass. This dilemma is caused by the significant frequency of fire and illegal logging hitting Indonesian forest. This phenomenon cannot shift the idea of leaving the wood as building material and approaching the higher thermal densities materials which look cheaper than wood. Using brick primarily will harm the earth due to the earth excavation. The government has an enormous responsibility to keep the forest sustainable, such as by giving a strict rule for anyone doing logging to replant the forest and give a severe punishment for anyone neglecting the rules. The forest can grow even though it takes times, but the ground such as clay, sands are not growing which means that using them largely will denude and destroy the earth.
### Table 2. Energy density of Traditional house

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>component</th>
<th>construction</th>
<th>square (m²)</th>
<th>volume (m³)</th>
<th>density (kg/m³)</th>
<th>weight (kg)</th>
<th>energy coefficient (MJ/kg)</th>
<th>energy density (MJ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wall</td>
<td>Wooden plank (0.025m)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>705</td>
<td>17.63</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>61.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wooden plank (0.03m)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rumbia Leaf *</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>705</td>
<td>21.15</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>74.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof</td>
<td>(0.2m)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>22.00</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>5.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wooden rafter (Ø8cm)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>705</td>
<td>7.08</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>24.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Window</td>
<td>Wooden louvres (0.025m)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>705</td>
<td>17.63</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>61.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Energy density** 227.48

*: represented by Straw bale

### Table 3. Energy density of Transitional house

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>component</th>
<th>construction</th>
<th>square (m²)</th>
<th>volume (m³)</th>
<th>density (kg/m³)</th>
<th>weight (kg)</th>
<th>energy coefficient (MJ/kg)</th>
<th>energy density (MJ/m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wall</td>
<td>Wooden plank (0.025m)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>705</td>
<td>17.63</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>61.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wooden plank (0.03m)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zinc sheet (0.0015)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>3330</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>254.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wooden rafter (Ø8cm)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>705</td>
<td>7.08</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>24.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Window</td>
<td>Wooden louvres (0.025m)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>705</td>
<td>17.63</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>61.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Energy density** 476.95

### Table 4. Energy density of Modern house

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>component</th>
<th>construction</th>
<th>square (m²)</th>
<th>volume (m³)</th>
<th>density (kg/m³)</th>
<th>weight (kg)</th>
<th>energy coefficient (MJ/kg)</th>
<th>energy density (MJ/m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wall</td>
<td>clay brick (15 cm)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.150</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>142.50</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>138.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floor</td>
<td>Concrete (3cm)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>72.00</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>93.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ceramic tile (1cm)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>62.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zinc sheet (0.0015m)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>3330</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>254.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Light Steel roof frame</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>7750</td>
<td>58.13</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2034.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceiling</td>
<td>Plywood (0.003cm)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>705</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>22.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Window</td>
<td>Glass (0.0025m)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>2579</td>
<td>6.45</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>102.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Energy density** 2707.98

The transitional house (table 3) also shows a low value of thermal densities than it is in modern house. This can be a reference in choosing the building materials by using the low thermal densities materials more than the higher value ones. The sustainable materials
can also be an alternative in reducing the thermal density materials. There are lots of selections of sustainable material such as paddy husks, wood husks, straw, etc. However, such materials are not commonly used and broadly accepted. It, therefore, needs more innovations to make those materials durable and reliable and also look attractive to be applied in the field.

There have not been studies finding comfort temperature in Banda Aceh conducted with adaptive approach, and it is not scientifically acceptable to adopt the comfort temperature which has different local climate. Therefore, Karyono’s initial study in predicting the comfort temperature in Indonesia (Karyono, 2015) is utilized. Karyono (2015) collected some thermal comfort studies in Indonesia and from those found a regression equation of predicted comfort temperature (PCT) on the mean daily outdoor temperature as follows:

\[ PCT = 0.749Td + 5.953 \] (1)

*PCT is the predicted comfort temperature, and Td is the average daily outdoor temperature. The coefficient determination of \( R^2 = 0.38 \) (\( r = 0.61 \), and the regression is significant at a 95% confidence level.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4: Comfort range of Banda Aceh</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Max ((^\circ C))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Td</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tco</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Notes:*

\( Td \) : average daily outdoor temperature

\( Tco \): comfort temperature

Based on equation 1, PCT of Banda Aceh is calculated by using the average daily outdoor temperature of 27.5\(^\circ\)C. The equation found that the predicted comfort temperature of Banda Aceh is 26.6\(^\circ\)C. To find the comfort
range adaptively needs a further field survey which is not accommodated in this study. However, this study calculated the maximum and the minimum value of the average daily temperature into the equation. It gives a range where people are assumed to be able to adjust themselves naturally to get comfort either by adding or minimizing the number of clothing layer and adjust the metabolic rate through activities and food. Such range is not the same as range found from the real survey, yet the condition approaching upper, or lower level gives a warn that people will use the additional comfort supplier such as fan or AC in warm area and heater i.e. burning firewood in the cold area. Those ranges area as shown in table 4.

Figure 8 shows that RTD and RTS perform an almost similar pattern of inside air temperature. However, we can notice that the traditional house (RTD) gives slightly lower temperature compared with that of RTS. The temperature during the day (RTD and RTS) which is higher than the comfort zone is significantly caused by the light material, i.e., wood. Conversely, the evening temperature remains in the comfort zone. This situation can be solved by being outside during the day to get the free air and the shade of the vegetation. This is different from RM1 which has lower air temperature during the day and higher temperature during the night. It is due to the thermal mass of brickwork wall which stores solar energy during the day and re-radiates it at night. This causes many modern houses install the air conditioner to cool down the inside air temperature during the evening.

Shophouse (RM2) shows the worst or highest air temperature which is out of the comfort range. This kind of house has limited openings which are only available on front and rear side. Left and right side are attached to another shop houses which creates large thermal mass which therefore creates higher inside air temperature. Semi detached house tends to provide higher temperature yet not as high as the storehouse.

![Figure 8: Air temperature of houses surveyed in Banda Aceh](image-url)
CONCLUSION

This study found that the traditional house is scarce to find. The transitional house is also not very easy to find where some houses have been used as an office or some other functions. The reasons are quite varied such as resource depletion making it tough and affordable for communities to build traditional vernacular house (Arboleda, 2006). The traditional house lay out evolves which can be seen from the transitional to the modern house. The transitional house in Banda Aceh shows to maintain the bedrooms in the middle row and keeps the front area as the public zone. While the modern house shows to move the bedroom to the front side equals to the guest area. And the bedroom door sometimes is easily seen from the guest room. The change of the house façade from raised floor house to be ground based house is also a significant change.

This phenomenon shows that the house layout cannot be sustained due to the social and culture reasons. More individualistic and less community-oriented makes people tend to leave the old style and make the more private house design. However, the embodied energy calculation shows that the building material in traditional and transitional house is able to provide the lower value which means it does not harm and destroy the earth. While in thermal comfort view, the modern house without wise understandings in design will create higher air temperature which contributes the need to use air conditioner to cool the internal environment.

The value of energy density in traditional and transitional house is also much lower than it is in modern house. It means that the house with low energy density gives little harm to environment. Therefore the study motivates us to keep the value of traditional house regarding building materials and the consideration in locating openings. While the traditional house layout cannot be sustained since the social living keeps changing which causes the change of human environment too.
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